

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/683,955	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ASTRACHAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Aung S. Moe	<b>Art Unit</b> 2685	

**All Participants:**

(1) Aung S. Moe.

(2) Timothy W. Markison (Reg. No. 33,534).

**Date of Interview:** 3/14/06

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** After Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:30AM

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*Claim 11.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*the dependece of claim 11 has been corrected as to depend on claim 10.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**AUNG MOE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

---

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)